# The Proof of Thue's Theorem

Lin Feng

July 4, 2024

In this talk, our goal is to complete the proof of Thue's theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Thue's theorem). Suppose that  $\beta$  is an algebraic number of degree  $d \ge 3$ , and suppose that s > (d+2)/2. Then there are only finitely many rational numbers p/q that satisfy the inequality

$$\left|\beta - \frac{p}{q}\right| \le q^{-s}$$

## 1 Outline of the proof

WLOG, we assume that  $(d+2)/2 < s \le d$  in this talk (since we have Liouville's theorem). Recall the outline of the proof:

Suppose that the algebraic number  $\beta$  has infinitely many good rational approximation p/q, then it has two very good rational approximations  $r_1 = p_1/q_1$  and  $r_2 = p_2/q_2$ .

- (1) Find a non-zero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  with controlled degree and coefficients that vanishes to high order at  $(\beta, \beta)$ . (Use parameter counting.)
- (2) Because  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are good approximations of  $\beta$ , the polynomial must also vanish to high order at  $(r_1, r_2)$ .
- (3) The polynomial P vanishes too much at  $(r_1, r_2)$ , and so its coefficients have a lower bound.
- (4) Compare the lower and upper bound. Contradiction.

# 2 Step 1: Parameter counting

Firstly, we give the parameter counting lemma for linear map  $L : \mathbb{Z}^M \to \mathbb{Z}^N$  given by a matrix with integer coefficients. Denote  $|x|_{\infty} = \max_i |x_i|$  for  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ .

**Lemma 2** (Siegel's lemma). If  $L : \mathbb{Z}^M \to \mathbb{Z}^N$  is a linear map, given by a matrix with integer coefficients, with M > N, then there exists a nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^M$  with  $|x|_{\infty} \leq |L|_{op}^{N/(M-N)}$  such that Lx = 0, where

$$|L|_{op} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^M \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|Lx|_{\infty}}{|x|_{\infty}}$$

**Remark.** If  $L = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$ , then

$$|L|_{op} = \max_{1 \le i \le N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} |a_{ij}|.$$

If we assume further that  $|a_{ij}| \leq B$ , then  $|L|_{op} \leq MB$ .

Next we will use this parameter counting argument to find an integer polynomial  $P(x_1, x_2)$  that vanishes at  $(\beta, \beta)$  to high order, with a bound on the degree of P and the size of the coefficients of P. We write |P| for the maximum of the absolute value of the coefficients of P.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  be an algebraic number of degree d. Suppose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For any sufficiently large integer m, there is a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  with the form  $P(x_1, x_2) = P_1(x_1)x_2 + P_0(x_1)$  such that

- $\partial_1^j P(\beta, \beta) = 0$  for  $0 \le j \le m 1$ .
- deg  $P \le (1+\varepsilon)dm/2 + 2$ .
- $|P| \leq C(\beta)^{m/\varepsilon}$ .

**Remark.** The reason that we consider polynomial P with such form dates back to the original paper by Thue, where we want to extend the method used in the proof of Liouville's theorem.

The proof of this proposition need the following lemma which gives an upper bound of the coefficients of the expansion of  $\beta^e$  ( $e \ge d$ ).

**Lemma 4.** Suppose  $Q(\beta) = 0$ , where  $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with degree d and leading coefficient  $q_d$ . Then for any  $e \ge d$ , we can write

$$q_d^e \beta^e = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} c_{ke} \beta^k,$$

where  $c_{ke} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|c_{ke}| \leq (2 |Q|)^e$ .

# 3 Step 2: Taylor approximation

Recall Taylor's theorem.

**Theorem 5** (Taylor's theorem). If f is a smooth function on an interval, then f(x+h) can be approximated by its Taylor expansion around x:

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{j!} \partial^j f(x) h^j + E,$$

where the error term E is bounded by

$$|E| \le \frac{1}{m!} \sup_{y \in [x,x+h]} |\partial^m f(y)| h^m.$$

**Corollary 6.** If Q is a polynomial of one variable, and Q vanishes at x to order  $m \ge 1$ , and if  $|h| \le 1$ , then

$$|Q(x+h)| \le C(x)^{\deg Q} |Q| h^m$$

Next, we can choose suitable  $\varepsilon$  to make P in Proposition 3 vanishes at  $(r_1, r_2)$  to high order.

**Proposition 7.** Suppose that  $\beta$  is an algebraic number of degree  $d \ge 3$ . Suppose that s > (d+2)/2. There is a small constant  $c(\beta, s) > 0$  so that the following holds. Suppose that  $r_1 = p_1/q_1, r_2 = p_2/q_2$  such that

$$|\beta - r_i| \le q_i^{-s}$$

We assume that  $q_1 < q_2$ , and we let m be the integer so that

$$q_1^m \le q_2 < q_1^{m+1}$$

Given  $\beta$  and s, we also assume that  $q_1$  is sufficiently large and that m is sufficiently large. Then there exists a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  with the form  $P(x_1, x_2) = P_1(x_1)x_2 + P_0(x_1)$  such that

- $\partial_1^j P(r_1, r_2) = 0$  for  $0 \le j < c(\beta, s)m$ .
- deg  $P \leq C(\beta)m$ .
- $|P| \leq C(\beta)^m$ .

**Remark.** The assumptions for  $q_1, q_2, m$  make sense, because if there exist infinitely many rational numbers p/q such that  $|\beta - p/q| \le q^{-s}$ , then we can find a sequence of such q with  $q_i \to \infty$ .

#### 4 Step 3: Gauss's lemma

Recall that, in last talk, we have proved the next proposition, which could give us a lower bound of the polynomial P.

**Proposition 8.** If  $P(x_1, x_2) = P_1(x_1)x_2 + P_0(x_1) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$ , and  $(r_1, r_2) = (p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ , and  $\partial_1^j P(r_1, r_2) = 0$  for  $j = 0, \ldots, l-1$ , and if  $l \ge 2$ , then

$$|P| \ge \min\left\{ (2 \deg P)^{-1} q_1^{(l-1)/2}, q_2 \right\}.$$

## 5 Conclusion

We are ready to complete the proof of Thue's theorem.

We have to show that there are only finitely many rational solutions to the inequality

$$\left|\beta - \frac{p}{q}\right| \le q^{-s}.$$

Suppose that there are infinitely many such rational numbers p/q. Let  $p_1/q_1$  be one rational solution, where  $q_1$  is large enough. Then let  $p_2/q_2$  be another rational solution, with  $q_2$  much larger than  $q_1$ . We define *m* to be the integer so that  $q_1^m \leq q_2 < q_1^{m+1}$ .

By Proposition 7, there is a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2]$  with the form  $P(x_1, x_2) = P_1(x_1)x_2 + P_0(x_1)$  such that

- $\partial_1^j P(r_1, r_2) = 0$  for  $0 \le j \le l 1$ , where  $l = c(\beta, s)m$ .
- deg  $P \leq C(\beta)m$ .
- $|P| \leq C(\beta)^m$ .

On the other hand, Proposition 8 gives a lower bound for |P|:

$$|P| \ge \min\left\{ (2C(\beta)m)^{-1} q_1^{(l-1)/2}, q_2 \right\} \ge q_1^{\tilde{c}(\beta,s)m}.$$

Hence, we get

$$q_1^{\tilde{c}(\beta,s)m} \le C(\beta,s)^m,$$

and so

$$q_1 \le C(\beta, s)^{1/\tilde{c}(\beta, s)}.$$

Since  $q_1$  could be arbitrarily large, this is a contradiction.

# References

- [1] L. Guth. Polynomial methods in combinatorics. Vol. 64. American Mathematical Soc., 2016.
- [2] A. Thue. Über Annäherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik. 1909 (135): 284–305.